

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Partho Sarkar	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,803	•	09/09/2003		2281-1-3	1819	
996	7590	7590 07/31/2007		EXAMINER		
GRAYBE 155 - 108T	•	KSON, HALEY LL	P			
SUITE 350				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BELLEVU	E, WA 9	8004-5973				
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/200	DATE MAILED: 07/31/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief SARKAR ET AL. 10/658.803 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit 1745 Raymond Alejandro -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 29 June 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper 1. heading or in the proper order. 2. \square The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) 6. □ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 7. 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).

1.)The heading "Summary of the invention"should read "Summary of the claimed subject matter".Also in the brief filed 02/12/07 the following headings should be changed, Issues should read"Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal".The heading grouping of claims is no longer required by 41.37 (c).

10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

2.) The brief does not contain headings for the evidence and related proceedings appendix. If there is no evidence or related proceedings appendix, then the indication none should be included after both headings.

TIM COLE
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST

Timothy Cole